ELASTIC CONSTANTS OF BULK POLYMERS

By R. W. Warfield F. Robert Barnet

12 APRIL 1972

NOL

NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY, WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification.		2.31						
DOCUMENT CON								
Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing  1 SHIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	annotation must be e		CURITY CLASSIFICATION					
Naval Ordnance Laboratory		Unclassified						
White Oak, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		26. GROUP						
		None						
3 REPORT TITLE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
"Elastic Constants of Bulk Polymer	s"							
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)								
None	÷.	,						
5 AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)								
R. W. Warfield and F. Robert Barne	t							
6 REPORT DAYE	78, TOTAL NO. O	F PAGES	7b, NO OF REFS					
12 April 1972		3	19					
84, CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUME	DER(S)					
b. PROJECT NO.	, NOLTR 7	1-226						
MAT 03L-000-176-23-ZR011-01-01								
C. 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may this report)								
d.	None							
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		<del></del>	<del>,'</del> ;					
Approved for public release; distribution	n unlimited.							
II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY ACTI	VICY					
N	Naval Material Command							
None	Washington, D. C. 20360							
13 ABSTRACT	<u></u>							
Previously a static Multi-Modulus	toohniana	une decen	inod for the					
	-							
simultaneous determination of Youn	g's and bu	lk moduli	. on a single					
specimen of a polymeric material.	Additional	l data ha	ive now been					
accumulated. These fit the same p	attern as	the early	data and					
_		•						
further substantiate the validity			-					
for measuring the fundamental elas	tic proper	ties of p	olymers.					
,								

DD FORM 1473 (FAGE I)

S/N 0101-807-6801

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification LINK A KEY WORDS ROLE ROLE ROLE Young's Modulus Bulk Medulus Poisson's Ratio Elastic Constants Polymers

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)

(PAGE 2)

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

#### ELASTIC CONSTANTS OF BULK POLYMERS

Prepared by:
R. W. Warfield
F. Robert Barnet

ABSTRACT: Previously a static Multi-Modulus technique was described for the simultaneous determination of Young's and bulk moduli on a single specimen of a polymeric material. Additional data have now been accumulated. These fit the same pattern as the early data and further substantiate the validity of the Multi-Modulus technique for measuring the fundamental elastic properties of polymers.

Nonmetallic Materials Division CHEMISTRY RESEARCH DEPARTMENT NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

> i UNCLASSIFIED

#### UNCLASSIFIED

NOLTR 71-226

12 April 1 7

Elastic Constants of Bulk Polymers

The results presented in this report are of a research nature. It is expected that they will be lead to a better understanding of polymer physics and aid materially in the selection and specification of polymeric materials for ordnance applications involving compression loading. The data presented herein are estimated to be accurate to within ±5% and as such, are practical for engineering use.

This work was performed under Independent Research Project MAT 03L-000-176-23-ZRO11-01-01 as part of an investigation of the solid state properties of polymers. It is an extension of the work previously reported in references 1, 2, and 3.

ROBERT WILLIAMSON II Captain, USN Commander

ALBERT LIGHTBODY

By direction

#### CONTENTS

																							Pa	ge
INTRODUC	CTION	0	•	•	•	o	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٥	۰	v	•	٥	•	•	•	•		1
EXPERIME	ENTAL	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1
REȘULTS	ạnd Di	ISC	CUS	SSI	101	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1
CONCLUSI	CONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6
RECOMMEN	DATIOI	NS		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			7
REFERENC	CES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		8
										7	ΓAI	3LF	ES											
Table																							Ρā	ige
1 2 3	Young Ratio Young Bulk	's	, Mo	o: odi	ılı 1	3u. us	lk , 1	Po E,	01 <u>y</u>	yme E I	ers Bul	₃ . Lk	Po	oly	yme	er	• • ·	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	· · ·		2 4 5

#### INTRODUCTION

Previously a "Multi-Modulus" technique was described for determining the Young's modulus (E) and the bulk modulus (B) of polymeric materials on a single specimen in a single compressibility experment  $^{1,2,3}$ . Data on linear amorphous, linear crystalline, and crosslinked systems were reported and it was shown that Poisson's ratio  $\mu$ , could be readily calculated from the two elastic constants. These data were then compared with other experimental data from the literature. It was concluded that the "Multi-Modulus" technique provides a novel and expeditious means for determining fundamental static elastic property data on a wide range of polymeric substances. The method eliminates the interspecimen variability problem, subsequently decried by Bonnin, et al $^4$ , and the data compare favorably with other data determined under similar isothermal conditions.

This report extends our previous work. Much of the past data is repeated for clarity of discussion and new data on polymers have been added. Extended discussions of these data and of the literature, further support the reliability of this experimental approach to the measurement of static elastic constants of polymers.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The E and B data presented herein was determined on a Matsuoka-Maxwell compression-type apparatus<sup>5</sup> and Poisson's ratio was calculated therefrom, as previously described<sup>1,2,3</sup>. The new polymeric materials evaluated are indicated on Table 1.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Values of E, B, and density for a series of polymers, determined at  $25^{\circ}$ C, are shown in Table 1. It was previously demonstrated<sup>2</sup>,<sup>3</sup> that the precision in statically determining E and B for polystyrene was within  $\pm 1\%$ . However, for polyethylene this precision was no better than  $\pm 5\%$  which may be attributed to the extreme sensitivity of this polymer to minor changes in its thermal and pressure history. Thus, the error in the Multi-Modulus technique is due primarily to polymer variability.

TABLE 1
YOUNG'S MODULUS, E, BULK MODULUS, B, AND POISSON'S RATIO,  $\mu$ , OF BULK POLYMERS

Polymer	Density gms/cc	$E \times 10^{-10}$ dynes/cm <sup>2</sup>	$8 \times 10^{-10}$ dynes/cm <sup>2</sup>	μ
Polystyrene*	1.05	3.43	4.42	0.37
Polymethyl Methacrylate*	1.19	3.01	5.37	0.40
Polyisobutyl Methacrylate*	1.04	1.49	2.89	0.41
Poly(4,4 -dioxydiphenyl- 2,2-propane)carbonate	1.20	2.4?	4.94	0.42
Poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4- phenyleneoxide)	1.07	229·	4.13	0.41
Polychlorotrifluoroethylene*	2.15	1.94	5.24	0.44
Poly-4-methyl Pentene-1	0.84	1.59	4.03	0.43
Polybutene-1	0.91	0.75	3.84	0.47
Polysulfone	1.24	2.62	5.30	0.42
Polytetrafluoroethylene*	2.22	0.47	2.11	0.46
Polyepoxide + MPDA**	1.21	3.21	5.40	0.40
Polyvinyl Butyral	1.11	2.66	4.22	0.40
Polyvinylidene Fluoride	1.77	1.72	5.36	0.45
Polycaprolactam	1.14	1.94	5.05	0.44
Polyethylene*	0.95	0.76	2.59	0.45
Polypropylene (Tsotactic)	0.91	1.42	3.48	0.43
Polypyromellitimide*	1.43	2.99	6.02	0.42
Polyoxymethylene*	1.43	2.70	5.90	0.44
Polyethylene Oxide	1.21	0.29	5.68	0.49
Polyethylene***	0.948	0.88	4.53	0.47
Poly-m-carboranylenedisiloxane	1.04	3.03	2.81	0.48
Polyethylene	0.921	0.20	3.39	0.49
Polyurethane (Crosslinked)	1.03	2.64	8.86	0.45

<sup>\*</sup> Previously reported in references 1, 2, and 3

<sup>\*\*</sup> Epon 828 (Shell Chemical Co.) Crosslinked with 12.6% of m-phenylene diamine.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Marlex 5003 (Philips Petroleum Co.) a very slightly branched polyethylene.

Comparison of our experimental elastic data with those of other workers is impractical because of the dearth of such data and because of the difficulty in comparing static data with that obtained at various frequencies. Standard relationships are available for converting adiabatic E and B data to the corresponding isothermal However, the frequency dependence of E, and to a lesser extent of B, cannot be predicted. For example, this frequency dependence is seen in the data of Schuyer, 7 who reported that the statically determined E for polyethylene is an order of magnitude lower than that found by sound velocity measurements at 2 MHz. Likewise, Davidse, et al, demonstrated that the dynamic E for polyethylene, determined by sound velocity measurements of 8 KHz, is three to four times greater than when obtained by static, tensile methods. Pastine has recently pointed out that shear relaxation effects cause the high frequency values of shear modulus (GHf) to differ appreciably for the low frequency values  $(G_{I,f})$ . The same would be expected to be true for  $E_{\rm Hf}$  and  $E_{\rm Lf}$ . However, this is not the case for the bulk modulus property as the  $B_{\rm Hf}/B_{\rm Lf}$  ratio does not differ appreciably from unity. Thus, while we cannot directly compare static values of E with those determined at high frequencies, we can, to a first approximation, compare static and dynamic B data.

In Table 2, values of E as determined by the Multi-Modulus technique are compared with values obtained by tensile creep and other very low frequency (static) measurements. Only in the case of polyethylene is there a significant difference between the various data and this may well be explained by the extreme sensitivity of this polymer to changes in its thermal and pressure history. From these comparisons we conclude that values of E determined by the Multi-Modulus technique are in satisfactory agreement with other reliable static values.

In the case of the bulk modulus, since B is not highly frequency dependent, it is possible to make first approximation comparisons of our static data with high frequency data, as suggested above. Table 3 shows that this comparison is reasonable and that our bulk modulus values agree with other published values. The  $\rm B_{Hf}$  values cited in Table 3 are all adiabatic and can be easily converted to the corresponding isothermal values by standard relationships  $\rm ^{10}$ . Thus, comparisons of B, can be made without serious regard to the value of the relaxation time of the polymer or the exact rate of loading used in making the measurements.

### UNCLASSIFIED HOLLT 71-226

TABLE 2
YOUNG'S MODULUS, E, OF BULK POLYMERS

Polymer	$E \times 10^{-10}$ , dynes/cm <sup>2</sup>								
	By Multi-Modulus Techniques	By Tensile Creep Methods	By Other Methods						
Polymethyl Methacrylate	3.01	3.08(5)							
Polypropylene	1.42	1.43(5)	hand from						
Poly-4-methyl pentene-1	1.59	1.59(5)							
Polyoxymethylene	2.70	3.04(5)							
Polyethylene	0,76	1.05(5)							
Polyethylene	0.76.		0.98(8)						
Polyethylene	0.76		0.69(14)						
Polyethylene Oxide	0.29		0.28(19)						

Numbers in brackets are reference citations

TABLE 3
BULK MODULUS, B, OF POLYMERS

By Multi-Modulus By High Frequent Technique Methods (Hz)

	By Multi-Modulus Techrique	By High Frequency Methods (Hz)	References for High Freq. Methods
Polystyrene	4.42	4.0 (2.5 MHz)	16
Polyisobutyl Methacrylate	2.89	3.3 (2.5 MHz)	16
Polychlorotri- fluoroethylene	5.6	5.6 (2.5 MHz)	16
Polymethyl Methacrylate	5.37	6.1 (2.5 MHz)	16
Polymethyl Methacrylate	5.37	5.9 (6 MHz)	17
Poly (4,4'-dioxydi- phenyl-2,2-propane			
carbonate)	4.94	4.83 (6 MHz)	17
Polyoxmethylene	6.90	7.96 (6 MHz)	. 17
Polytetrafluoro- ethylene	2.11	2.11	18
Polycaprolactam	5.05	5.27	18

Since both E and B are determined on the same specimen under the same experimental conditions in the Multi-Modulus technique, these two constants may be readily employed to calculate Poisson's ratio,  $\mu$ . Use of the formula  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{E}{EB}$  is justified for this purpose as the same specimen is used in measuring both E and B, analogous conditions prevail, and the specimen is in a quasi-isotropic state, thus meeting the criteria set forth by Koster and Franz<sup>11</sup>. The values of  $\mu$  are then also free of inter-specimen variability and frequency dependence. Unfortunately, such is not the case for much of the published data. One often finds  $\mu$ 's calculated from frequency dependent values of  $E_{\rm Hf}$  and  $G_{\rm Hf}$  or from values of B with only small frequency dependency combined with values of E with high frequency dependency. Also, different samples are frequently employed to determine each modulus. As Bonnin, et al, has recently pointed out this has had the effect of creating considerable confusion as to the true values of  $\mu$  for polymers.

Shamov<sup>12</sup> recently considered this problem and pointed out the importance of considering the time dependence of  $\mu$ . Measurements made at very high frequencies yield values of  $\mu$  of about 1/3 whereas data obtained at very low frequencies yield values of  $\mu$  asymptotically approaching  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, depending upon the frequency at which the experimental values of the moduli were obtained, the value of  $\mu$  for a polymer ranges from that of an elastic solid to that of a liquid. Shamov illustrates this point with a plot of the time-variation of  $\mu$  for polyethylene (density = 0.955 gms/cc) and shows that after 100 minutes  $\mu$  attains a value of about 0.45. Likewise, we have found the  $\mu$  of polyethylene to be 0.45 at a density of 0.95 gms/cc.

Additional arguments for the validity of determining E by compression measurements have been presented by Novak and Bert  $^{13}$ . These workers considered the variations in Young's modulus between samples of crosslinked polyepoxide when measured in tension and compression. They concluded that compression measurements gave the better results and they calculated a  $\mu$  of 0.39. This value compares favorably with our value of 0.40 for a similar crosslinked polyepoxide.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The results presented in this report lead to the following conclusions:

- a. E and B values obtained using the Multi-Modulus technique closely agree with values determined by other techniques using a similar rate of loading.
- b. Since the bulk modulus exhibits little frequency dependence, static B data can be compared with dynamic B data as a first approximation. When this comparison is made, our results are in good agreement with other published data.

c. Since the value of  $\mu$  for a polymer is a function of the rate of loading and the measurements reported herein were all made over a much longer time period than the relaxation times of the polymers, it follows that in general the calculated values of  $\mu$  should approach is Since this is observed, our results may be said to exhibit Shamov's generalization.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The work should be broadened to include data on the elastic constants of rubbery polymers. Also, the pressure and temperature dependence of E, B and  $\mu$  should be further explored.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Warfield, R. W., Cuevas, J. E., and Barnet, F. R., J. Applied Polymer Sci., 12, 1147 (1968)
- NOLTR 68-212 "Single Specimen Determination of Young's and Bulk Moduli of Polymers" by Warfield, R. W., Cuevas, J., and Barnet, F. R. of 13 Feb 1969
- 3. Warfield, R. W., Cuevas, J. E., and Barnet, F. R., Rheologica Acta, 9, 439 (1970)
- 4. Bonnin, M. J., Dunn, C. M. R., and Turner, S., Plastics and Polymers, 37, 517 (1969)
- 5. Maxwell, B., and Matsuoka, S., J. Polymer Sci., 32, 131 (1958)
- 6. See for example, Bradfield, G., National Physical Laboratory Notes on Applied Science No. 30, p 146, London (1964)
- 7. Schuyer, J., J. Polymer Sci., 36, 475 (1959)
- Davidse, P. D., Waterman, H. I., and Westerdijk, J. B.,
   J. Polymer Sci., <u>59</u>, 389 (1962)
- 9. Pastine, D. J., J. Chem. Physics, 49, No. 7, 3012 (1968)
- 16. Warfield, R. W., Pastine, D. J., and Petree, M. C., Naval Ordnance Laboratory, Report 69-98 (1969)
- 11. Koster, W., and Franz, H., Metallurgical Rev., 6, No. 21, 1 (1961)
- 12. Shamov, I., Polymer Mech., 1, No. 3, 36 (1966)
- 13. Novak, R. C., and Bert C. W., J. Composite Mat., 2, 506 (1968)
- 14. Anand, J. N., J. Macromol Sci. Phys. B 7, (3), 445 (1967)
- 15. Koppelmann, J., Rheol. Acta, 1, 20 (1958)
- 16. Kono, R., J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 15, 718 (1960) and 16, 1580 (1961)
- 17. Asay, J. R., Urzendowski, S. R., and Guenther, A. H., Ultrasonic and Thermal Studies of Selected Plastics, Laminated Materials and Metals, Technical Report No. AFWL-TR-67-91, Kirtland AFE, New Mexico (1968) Also see Asay, J. R., Lamberson, D. L., and Guenther, A. H., J. Applied Physics, 40, No. 4, 1768 (1969)
- 18. Heydemann, P., Acustica, 9, 446 (1959)
- 19. Unpublished data, Naval Ordnance Laboratory (1971)